

Stakeholder Involvement Plan

North Lake Shore Drive Phase I Study



Version 1.23

revised as of March 5, 2021



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Project Background and History.....	1
1.1.1	North Lake Shore Drive.....	1
1.1.2	Lincoln Park.....	1
1.1.3	The Lakefront Trail.....	2
1.1.4	Forging a Future Vision.....	2
1.2	State and Federal Requirements.....	2
1.2.1	National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).....	2
1.2.2	Environmental Review Process (23 U.S.C. Section 139).....	3
1.2.3	National Historic Preservation Act.....	3
1.2.4	Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.....	3
1.2.5	Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966.....	4
1.2.6	NEPA/404 Merger Process.....	4
1.3	Joint Lead, Cooperating and Participating Agencies.....	4
1.3.1	Joint Lead Agencies.....	4
1.3.2	Cooperating Agencies.....	5
1.3.3	Participating Agencies.....	5
1.3.3.1	Agencies Declining Invitation to Participate.....	5
1.3.3.2	Agencies Not Responding to Invitation to Participate.....	6
1.4	Section 106 Consulting Parties.....	6
2.	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.....	7
2.1	Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS).....	7
2.2	Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) Goals.....	8
2.2.1	Stakeholder Identification.....	8
2.2.2	Stakeholder Involvement Ground Rules.....	10
3.	COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND COMMUNICATION PROCESSES.....	11
3.1	Project Study Group (PSG).....	11
3.2	Corridor Planning Committee (CPC).....	12

3.3	Task Force Committees.....	12
3.4	Elected Officials.....	14
3.5	SIP Implementation	14
4.	PUBLIC MEETINGS.....	14
4.1	Public Meeting Locations.....	15
4.2	Public Meeting Materials.....	15
4.3	Public Meeting Format (in person).....	16
4.4	Public Meeting Format (virtual).....	16
	4.4.1 Public Meeting (live virtual meetings).....	17
4.4	Meeting Announcements	17
5.	ADDITIONAL METHODS FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	17
5.1	Website	18
5.2	Virtual Public Meeting Website	18
5.3	Local Events.....	18
5.4	Social Media.....	18
5.5	Newsletter/E-Newsletters	19
5.6	Mailing List	19
5.6	Email Listserv	19
5.8	Speakers Bureau	20
5.9	Phone Line (312-561-3140 ext. 101).....	20
5.10	Media Outreach	20
5.11	Response to Public Comments	20
6.	TENTATIVE SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES & STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT	21
6.1	Step One - Stakeholder Identification, Development of the SIP, Project Scoping.....	21
6.2	Step Two - Understanding of Project Purpose and Need.....	21
6.3	Step Three - Alternatives Workshop.....	22
6.4	Step Four - Alternatives to be Considered.....	22
6.5	Step Five - Preferred Alternative	23

7.	PLAN AVAILABILITY AND MONITORING UPDATES.....	23
7.1	Availability of Stakeholder Involvement Plan.....	23
7.2	Modification of Stakeholder Involvement Plan.....	24

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Joint-Lead, Cooperation, and Participating Agencies
Appendix B	Section 106 Consulting Parties
Appendix C	Project Study Group Members
Appendix D	Corridor Planning Committee Members
Appendix E	Task Force Membership
Appendix F	Elected Officials
Appendix G	General Stakeholders
Appendix H	Stakeholder Involvement Plan Revisions

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background and History

1.1.1 North Lake Shore Drive

Daniel Burnham and Edward Bennett's 1909 *Plan of Chicago* envisioned a park boulevard along Chicago's lake shore that would bypass the city center and provide a relaxing scenic drive for residents to enjoy views of a great city and a great lakefront park. Construction of North Lake Shore Drive as recognized today began in the early 1930s with the northernmost extension to Hollywood Avenue completed in 1957. North Lake Shore Drive has certainly achieved the goal of a scenic drive along the lakefront with iconic views of a world-class city and beautiful parks. Burnham and Bennett's vision of a park boulevard, however, has been overwhelmed by the travel demands of a modern, mobile society and an inadequate regional roadway network. Portions of North Lake Shore Drive carry as many as 140,000 vehicles per day. Though North Lake Shore Drive is not an expressway, its travel demand would rank it among the most heavily traveled expressway sections in the region. The roadway design combined with the heavy travel demand results in major safety issues as well as severe congestion during peak periods. Though the Drive has been repaired and resurfaced many times since the initial construction was completed, the pavement, bridges and appurtenances have reached the ends of their economic lifecycles. In addition, many areas along the Drive now have unsafe conditions and suffer from traffic bottlenecks.

1.1.2 Lincoln Park

First established in 1865, Lincoln Park has grown to become one of the crown jewels of Chicago's extensive park system. It is not only a regional park that is listed on the *National Register of Historic Places*, it is also a chain of local park spaces that link eleven Chicago neighborhoods to the lakefront.

The park draws thousands of visitors to its Lakefront Trail as well as its local attractions and many special events. In addition, the local park spaces are heavily used by residents from adjacent neighborhoods. The demand for access to the park and the intensity of use within it, caused by these local and regional needs have overburdened the original designs of many of these park facilities. Compromise through the years to accommodate competing uses has caused some facilities to fall short of fully serving their intended users.

1.1.3 The Lakefront Trail

The Lakefront Trail is the showpiece of Chicago’s world-class bicycle system. From dawn until dusk, thousands of bicyclists, joggers, walkers and rollerbladers descend upon the trail on a daily basis for both work and play. It is one of the busiest commuter bike routes to downtown Chicago. Some of the most spectacular views in the world are offered from the trail, making it a popular destination for tourists as well.

Its immense popularity, however, has resulted in a number of operation and safety issues. The portion of the trail between North Avenue and Belmont Avenue is overwhelmed with users, particularly on the weekends. During peak periods, demand far exceeds its available capacity. Adding to the severity of the congestion is the fact that the trail accommodates all kinds of users, including pedestrians, parents with strollers, dog walkers, runners, bikers and skaters. The variety of user speeds and lack of awareness of other users often results in collisions. Though most mishaps go unreported, local emergency rooms see no shortage of serious injuries during peak usage times.

1.1.4 Forging a Future Vision

To successfully unite the original vision for North Lake Shore Drive with the current needs will require a clear understanding of the complex set of issues and competing interests that face the project. This will require an extensive outreach program that will result in creative ideas that can address user needs while respecting the values and character of neighborhoods, but also fit into the complex implementation process.

1.2 State and Federal Requirements

The study process for this project will meet state and federal requirements meant to integrate environmental values and public interaction into transportation improvements. The requirements include the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act, Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS), among others.

1.2.1 National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

IDOT and CDOT will complete an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) for the North Lake Shore Drive Project in order to satisfy NEPA requirements. This EIS will begin with an evaluation of transportation problems in the study area based on stakeholder input that will be obtained from scoping meetings and engineering analysis. This evaluation will form the basis for the

project Purpose and Need and for identifying improvement alternatives. Ultimately, a preferred alternative for the study area will be identified. The NEPA process requires federal agencies to consider the environmental impacts of their proposed actions and reasonable alternatives to these actions. NEPA also encourages early and frequent coordination with the public and resource agencies throughout the project development process. The NEPA public involvement process will also be used by the IDOT and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to fulfill the Section 106 public involvement requirements, as described in Section 1.4.

1.2.2 Environmental Review Process (23 U.S.C. Section 139)

This project will satisfy the environmental review process requirements described in 23 USC Section 139.

23 USC §139(g) requires the lead agencies to develop a Coordination Plan to structure public and agency participation during the environmental review process. This Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) will serve as that plan. Furthermore, IDOT and CDOT are committed to supporting and implementing communication processes to gain information from stakeholders that will be used to develop an informed solution for the project. IDOT and CDOT will implement the SIP utilizing Context Sensitive Solutions as further detailed below.

1.2.3 National Historic Preservation Act

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires federal agencies to:

- Take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties.
- Afford the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation a reasonable opportunity to comment.

The Section 106 process seeks to accommodate historic preservation concerns with the needs of federal undertakings through consultation among the agencies and other parties with an interest in the effects of the undertaking on historic properties, commencing at the early stages of project planning. The goal of consultation is to identify historic properties potentially affected by the undertaking, assess its effects, and seek ways to avoid, minimize or mitigate any adverse effects on historic properties.

1.2.4 Section 404 of the Clean Water Act

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act prohibits the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States without a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). Waters of the United States include all waters and wetlands that could be important for interstate commerce purposes, and have traditionally included rivers and streams that are

navigable and tributaries to these waters. Because the entire project limits abut Lake Michigan, improvement alternatives may affect the lake, which would require a Section 404 Permit from the USACE. The USACE jointly administers Section 404 authority with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the administering agency for the entire Clean Water Act.

1.2.5 Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966

Before approving of a project that uses Section 4(f) property, Section 4(f) requirements stipulate that FHWA and other Department of Transportation (DOT) cannot approve the use of land from publicly owned parks, recreational areas, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, or public and private historical sites unless the following conditions apply:

- There is no feasible and prudent avoidance alternative to the use of land; and the action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property resulting from such use
- Or the administration determines the use of the property will have de minimis* impact

*De minimis impact is one that does not adversely affect the activities, features, or attributes of the Section 4(f) property (public parks, recreation areas, and wildlife and waterfowl refuges)

1.2.6 NEPA/404 Merger Process

A Statewide Implementation Agreement (SIA) is in effect that provides for concurrent NEPA and Section 404 processes on federal-aid highway projects in Illinois. The purpose of the SIA is to ensure appropriate consideration of the concerns of the USACE, the USEPA, the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) and the USFWS regarding compliance with the Section 404 Guidelines. It also involves state agencies at key decision points early in the process to minimize the potential for unforeseen issues during the Section 404 permit review. This process is commonly referred to as the NEPA/404 merger process. Since this project is being evaluated as an EIS and an individual permit from the Corps under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act may be necessary, it is being advanced through the NEPA/404 merger process. In addition to regular environmental coordination with the USACE and other natural resource agencies, concurrence will specifically be requested from these agencies at certain points in the Phase I process. These concurrence points are the Purpose and Need Statement, Alternatives to be Carried Forward and the Preferred Alternative.

1.3 Joint Lead, Cooperating and Participating Agencies

1.3.1 Joint Lead Agencies

FHWA, IDOT and CDOT will act as joint lead agencies for preparing the EIS. See Table A-1 in Appendix A. They are responsible for managing the environmental review process and preparing the environmental document for the project.

1.3.2 Cooperating Agencies

Per NEPA, a cooperating agency is any federal agency that has jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved in a proposed project. Cooperating agencies are permitted, by request of the lead agency, to assume responsibility for developing information and preparing environmental analyses for topics about which they have special expertise. Agencies invited to serve as cooperating agencies for this project are listed in Table A-2 in Appendix A. The responsibilities shown in the table are in addition to those that are typical of cooperating agencies, such as the following:

- Identify, as early as possible, any issues of concern regarding the project's potential environmental and socioeconomic impact.
- Communicate issues of concern, formally, in the EIS scoping process.
- Provide input and comment on the project's Purpose and Need.
- Provide input and comment on the procedures used to develop alternatives or analyze impacts.
- Provide input on the range of alternatives to be considered.
- Provide input and comment on the sufficiency of environmental analyses.

1.3.3 Participating Agencies

A participating agency is any federal, state, tribal, regional or local government agency that may have an interest in the project. By definition, all cooperating agencies will also be considered participating agencies. However, not all participating agencies will serve as cooperating agencies. Agencies agreeing to serve as participating agencies are listed in Table A-3 in Appendix A.

The responsibilities shown in the table are in addition to those for providing comments on Purpose and Need, study methodologies and range of alternatives. The list of cooperating and participating agencies will be updated, pending responses to the invitation, and incorporated into the SIP updates.

1.3.3.1 Agencies Declining Invitation to Participate

A federal agency that chooses to decline to be a participating agency must specifically state in its response that it:

- Has no jurisdiction or authority with respect to the project.
- Has no expertise or information relevant to the project.
- Does not intend to submit comments on the project.

The non-federal agencies must formally accept the invitation in order to be considered as a participating agency. If an agency declines to be a participating agency, their response should state the reason for declining the invitation. If they choose not to be a participating agency, their comments regarding the process may be recorded through available public involvement venues (e.g. task force groups, public meetings, etc.). Non-federal agencies that do not respond to the invitation will not be considered a participating agency. Table A-4 in Appendix A lists the agencies that were invited to participate in the project and declined.

1.3.3.2 Agencies Not Responding to Invitation to Participate

Agencies not responding to the invitation to participate have been defined as declining (non-federal agencies) or participating agencies (federal agencies) per 23 USC Section 139, and are included in Table A-4 in Appendix A.

1.4 Section 106 Consulting Parties

The FHWA is responsible for involving consulting parties in findings and determinations made during the Section 106 process. The Section 106 regulations identify the following parties as having a consultative role in the Section 106 process:

- State Historic Preservation Officer
- Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian Organizations
- Representatives of local governments
- Applicants for federal assistance, permits, licenses and other approvals
- Individuals and organizations with a demonstrated interest in the undertaking

The FHWA has worked with IDOT and the Illinois State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to identify potential Section 106 consulting parties, which are listed in Table B-1. Individuals or organizations may request to become a consulting party for this project by contacting Lori Brown by e-mail at Lori.S.Brown@Illinois.gov. Consulting parties may provide input on key decision points in the Section 106 process, including the project's Area of Potential Effect, determinations of eligibility and finding of effect, and if applicable, consulting to avoid adverse effects to historic properties.

The IDOT and FHWA will utilize IDOT's public involvement procedures under NEPA to fulfill the Section 106 public involvement requirements.

2. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

2.1 Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS)

This project will be conducted using principles of IDOT's guidelines for Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS). IDOT defines CSS as "an interdisciplinary approach that seeks effective transportation solutions by working with stakeholders to develop, build, and maintain cost-effective transportation facilities that fit into and reflect the project's surroundings – its context. Through early, frequent, and meaningful communication with stakeholders and a flexible and creative approach to design, the resulting projects should improve safety and mobility for the traveling public, while seeking to preserve and enhance the scenic, economic, historic, and natural qualities of the settings through which they pass."

The CSS approach will provide stakeholders with the tools and information they require to effectively participate in the study process including providing an understanding of the NEPA process, transportation planning guidelines, design guidelines and the relationship between transportation issues and project alternatives. In other words, using the CSS process should provide all project stakeholders a mechanism to share comments or concerns about transportation objectives and project alternatives, as well as improve the ability of the project team to understand and address concerns raised. This integrated approach to problem solving and decision making will help build a general understanding of the project among all interested community members and promote involvement through the study process.

Specifically, the CSS process will achieve the following:

- Understand the stakeholders' key issues and concerns.
- Involve stakeholders in the decision making process early and often.
- Establish an understanding of the stakeholders' project role.
- Address all modes of transportation and land use issues.
- Apply flexibility in design to address the stakeholders' concerns whenever possible.
- Identify and engage the general public that lives, works, or visits facilities within the project area.
- Educate the public on the project goals and direction.
- Incorporate regional stakeholders in the discussion.

2.2 Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) Goals

The goal of this SIP is to actively seek the participation of communities, agencies, individual interest groups and the general public throughout the project development process. A robust stakeholder involvement process holds the keys to developing a strong understanding of the project, minimizing adverse impacts to the community and fostering public support for the project.

The purpose of this plan is to provide a guide for implementing stakeholder involvement for the project. The SIP will be used as a blueprint for defining methods and tools to educate and engage all stakeholders in the decision-making process for this project. The SIP has been developed to ensure that stakeholders are provided a number of opportunities to be informed, engaged and provide input as the project progresses.

The SIP incorporates CSS project development principles from Section 19-2 of IDOT's Bureau of Design and Environment (BDE) Manual and CDOT's public involvement goals for this project. IDOT and CDOT are committed to supporting and implementing communication processes to gain information from stakeholders that will be used to develop an informed solution for the project. The success of the committee and public outreach structure outlined in this SIP relies on consistent and robust communications among all parties.

This is a fluid plan and may be continually updated during the life of the project. It will be routinely reviewed, evaluated and modified to reflect the changes and needs of the project and the public.

Stakeholder Involvement Plan objectives include:

- Identify the Project Study Group (PSG).
- Identify the Corridor Planning Committee (CPC).
- Identify the Task Force participants.
- Identify general stakeholders.
- Identify the roles and responsibilities of the lead agencies.
- Identify responsibilities of other agencies.
- Establish the timing and type of involvement activities with all stakeholders.
- Establish stakeholder requirements for providing timely input to the project development process.

2.2.1 Stakeholder Identification

CSS procedures identify a stakeholder as anyone who could be affected by the project or has a stake in its outcome. This includes property owners, business owners, state and local officials, community groups, motorists and non-motorists who utilize the facility. Any individual or group that shows interest in the project will be added to the stakeholder mailing list, thus ensuring that he or she will receive newsletters, meeting invitations and project updates. Stakeholder groups for this project may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Residents
- Commuters
- Transit users
- Advocates for community and historic interests
- Special interest groups (environmental coalitions, bicycle groups, etc.)
- Elected/community officials
- Government and planning agencies
- Other transportation system users
- Chambers of commerce
- Neighborhood groups
- Emergency response agencies (fire, police, hospitals, OEMC)
- Economic development corporations
- Utilities/telecommunications
- Park districts
- Regional users
- Churches near the project
- Schools near the project
- Universities
- Educational institutions

Early coordination and/or meetings will be conducted with stakeholders within the study area as a means of identifying interested parties and stakeholders, including individuals, businesses, community leaders and organizations within the study area. It is anticipated that new stakeholders will be added to the initial stakeholder list throughout the project. All individual stakeholders expressing interest in the project will be able to participate in the process through various public outreach opportunities. These opportunities include, but are not limited to, the project website, public meetings, newsletters and social media. The project mailing/e-mailing list will be updated and maintained throughout the duration of the project.

A preliminary listing of general stakeholders for the North Lake Shore Drive (NLSD) project is provided in Appendix D.

2.2.2 Stakeholder Involvement Ground Rules

The Project Study Group (PSG) will establish ground rules under which the Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) will operate. These will be established tentatively with the initiation of the SIP and must be agreed to by the stakeholders. They will be the basis for respectful interaction of all parties. Following are the tentative rules:

- The purpose of the SIP is to gather and duly consider input on the project from all stakeholders, in order to produce the best solutions to any issues or problems identified by the process.
- All input from all participants in the process is valued and will be considered.
- The role of the stakeholders is to advise the PSG. A general understanding among all stakeholders regarding project choices is sought, but the ultimate decisions remain in the hands of IDOT.
- All participants must come to the process with an open mind and participate openly and honestly.
- *General understanding* is defined as a general feeling of agreement where all input is heard and duly considered, and the process as a whole was fair.
- All participants in the process must treat each other with respect and dignity.
- All participants understand that the goal is once a *general understanding* on a topic is reached the issues will not continue to be revisited.
- The list of stakeholders is subject to revision at any time as events warrant.
- Documentation of all stakeholder contacts will be maintained by the PSG and will become part of the public record.
- The project must progress at a reasonable pace, based on the original project schedule.
- All decisions must be arrived at in a clear and transparent manner and stakeholders should agree their input has been duly considered.

IDOT is the agency responsible for the safety and integrity of the state transportation system. As such there will be considerations which cannot be compromised. There will be many different stakeholders, as summarized in Section 2.2.1; each will have differing views and interests. Although conflict resolution is a tool to resolve these differences, IDOT is held ultimately responsible and, therefore, makes the final decision.

3. COMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND COMMUNICATION PROCESSES



3.1 Project Study Group (PSG)

The Project Study Group (PSG) will consist of the lead agencies that will guide the overall Phase I Engineering Study. This group will meet periodically throughout the project to provide technical oversight and expertise in key areas including study process, agency procedures and standards and technical approaches. The PSG also has primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the SIP, CDOT, IDOT and FHWA standards, and all applicable environmental policies. Responsibilities of the PSG include the following:

- Expediting the project development process.
- Identifying and resolving project development issues.
- Promoting partnership with stakeholders to address identified project needs.
- Working to develop a general understanding or agreement among stakeholders.
- Acquiring clearances and approvals from environmental resource agencies.
- Making project recommendations.

This PSG will consist of the CDOT, IDOT, FHWA, and the Chicago Park District. The Chicago Transit Authority (CTA) is a significant stakeholder that will be integrated early into the planning

process. The PSG is expected to meet regularly throughout the project development process as part of decision-making milestones. As with all working groups, the PSG list is subject to modification during the study process.

A listing of PSG members is contained in Appendix C.

3.2 Corridor Planning Committee (CPC)

A key element of the SIP will be the creation of a Corridor Planning Committee (CPC). The CPC consists of representatives from the PSG as well as representatives from the six ward offices (Wards 2, 42, 43, 44, 46, 48) and key stakeholders. Additional members may be added upon completion of the first public meeting. A maximum of 25 community representatives will comprise the CPC membership. The CPC works in close coordination with the PSG. This group will meet at least, but not limited to, five times over the course of the study.

A current listing of CPC members is provided in Appendix D.

The CPC will achieve several study objectives. First, by sharing information about their communities or organizations, the CPC will help the PSG more clearly understand the broader community. The PSG will present detailed information about the study to CPC members on a regular basis, thus allowing the CPC to assist in keeping the broader community informed on the progress of the study. CPC members will then report back with feedback from the groups they represent. In similar fashion the CPC members will feed information from their communities back to the CPC as a whole.

3.3 Task Force Committees

This SIP identifies the need for six task forces to address the multitude of community needs on this project. While each task force focuses on specific areas of expertise, all relevant information will be shared as many of the issues surrounding this project cannot be put into silos. Participation in these task forces will come from elected official recommendations, stakeholder recommendations, general citizen interest and PSG members. A task force interest form was constructed to support inclusion while balancing representation on the committee and was distributed at Public Meeting #1. Additional task force members have been added or replaced as the study progresses. Current task force membership lists are contained in Appendix E.

- Environment & Park Users Task Force – This includes representatives from organizations focused on historic preservation, environmental issues, water quality and Great Lakes concerns, landscape architecture, wildlife organizations and parks.

This also includes bicycle clubs, running clubs, sporting organizations, boating organizations, bicycle and pedestrian organizations, etc. This group focuses on access issues, neighborhood-specific concerns, and park and beach issues, as well as park land, habitats, beach area and water impacts. See Table E-1 in Appendix E for membership.

- Transportation Task Force – This includes representatives from the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA), bicycle and pedestrian organizations, emergency responders, North Shore representation selected by the 9th District of the House of Representatives and commuters. This group focuses on north/south movement on NLS D itself including circulation and access points. See Table E-2 in Appendix E for membership.
- Business and Institutions Task Force – This includes business organizations, tourism agencies, area hospitals, universities, and education facilities. This group focuses on traffic movement and accessibility issues as well as other concerns affecting their operations. See Table E-3 in Appendix E for membership.

There will be three Geographic Stakeholders Task Forces.

- South (Grand Avenue to Diversey Avenue) Residential Task Force – This is comprised of residents and stakeholders that will address issues in this area of the NLS D project. This includes the 2nd, 42nd and 43rd wards. See Table E-4 in Appendix E for membership.
- Central (Diversey Avenue to Montrose Avenue) Residential Task Force – This is comprised of residents and stakeholders that will address issues in this area of the NLS D project. This includes the 44th and 46th wards. See Table E-5 in Appendix E for membership.
- North (Montrose Avenue to Hollywood Avenue) Residential Task Force – This is comprised of residents and stakeholders that will address issues in this area of the NLS D project. This includes the 46th and 48th wards. See Table E-6 in Appendix E for membership.

A separate community outreach process will be established to address the Northern Terminus Traffic Study. Public outreach and coordination efforts will occur via the 48th Ward Transportation Committee and other stakeholders.

All of the task force meetings will occur during regular business hours. The CPC and Task Forces may meet separately or jointly as deemed necessary by the PSG.

In addition to those members serving in the above Task Forces, stakeholders will be identified to complement this work through outreach and communication methods.

3.4 Elected Officials

Since this project is one of the most high-profile transportation projects in the region, elected officials must stay abreast of project development and be part of the project information gathering. Elected officials will receive briefings before each public meeting. The six aldermen will receive, if needed, one-on-one briefings and will also be invited to participate in their accompanying Residential Task Forces. They will be invited to each CPC meeting and the public meetings. A list of elected officials is provided in Appendix F.

3.5 SIP Implementation

Public involvement in the planning process begins as soon as the study starts and continues throughout the project. This SIP serves as a guide for public involvement for this project, and includes strategies that can be used through all project phases. As an implementation guide, this plan links specific strategies to the study schedule and identifies the audience each strategy is intended to reach. Implementation of this plan requires the commitment and efforts of all study participants and includes actions, responsibilities, and timing. The PSG will be responsible for the overall development, implementation and coordination of the SIP.

4. PUBLIC MEETINGS

Public involvement for the NLS D study will include opportunities for broader public meetings in the form of public information meetings, stakeholder workshops and a public hearing to present the Draft EIS. These large-scale meetings will encourage public attendance and foster public awareness of project developments and alternatives that are being evaluated. They will also provide a forum for general public input, including concerns and comments regarding project alternatives. Four public meetings are anticipated to coincide with major project milestones:

- *Public Meeting #1* (August 6-8, 2013, held in-person) served as the project kickoff, providing information regarding the study history, process and objectives, CSS procedures, and provide an opportunity for the public to share its perspectives regarding transportation issues, project concerns, goals and objectives.

- *Public Meeting #2* (July 8, 2014, held in-person) presented the draft project purpose and need and solicit input regarding potential solutions to the transportation needs in the study corridor. This input was used to develop a range of alternatives.
- *Public Meeting #3* (July 12, 2017, held in-person) presented a range of alternatives based on input obtained at Public Meeting #2 along with evaluation criteria and results from the initial screening process. Input obtained at this meeting was used to refine the alternatives.
- *Public Meeting #4* (September 28, 2020 to November 9, 2020, held virtually) presented a narrowed range of alternatives along with evaluation criteria and results from the second screening process. Alternatives recommended to be carried forward to the next stage of analysis were presented. Input obtained at this meeting was used to refine the alternatives.
- *Public Meeting #5* will present the evaluation criteria and results from the final screening process, along with the preferred alternative. Input obtained at this meeting will be used to refine the preferred alternative.
- The *Public Hearing* will present the Draft EIS and evaluation of the preferred alternative. The hearing is part of a federal requirement for the Draft EIS under the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). The public will have the opportunity to view and provide their testimony on the Draft EIS and preferred alternative.

4.1 Public Meeting Locations

The meeting locations should have at least two rooms or one large room holding at least 100 people and with an area to accommodate an audio-visual presentation and to display exhibits or other involvement exercises. Meeting locations must be ADA accessible. Ideal locations should be near public transportation and low cost or free of charge. A comprehensive list of public meeting locations will detail how well the criteria are met. The PSG can approve or reject any public meeting location site.

4.2 Public Meeting Materials

In addition to exhibits other materials that include relevant project information, such as brochures, will be available at all public meetings. The handouts may include information relating to project goals, timeline and scope. A library of fact sheets will be compiled

throughout the project and made available to the public at these meetings and on the website. Presentations and other meeting materials will be posted on the website following each public meeting.

4.3 Public Meeting Format (in person)

In order to maximize communication with residents, each meeting or hearing will consist of an open house format available to all members of the public. The entire public meeting will be allotted at least two hours, typically during the early evening. Weekend or daytime meetings may be required. The time frame allows for attendees to view displays or participate in input exercises and ask questions. There may be several means to provide input throughout the public meetings.

Comment cards will be available to solicit input at each meeting. An online comment form will also be available at the meeting and for 30 days post the public meeting for comments. After each meeting, members of the PSG will evaluate the effectiveness of the meeting and identify follow up items and improvements for future meetings.

4.4 Public Meeting Format (virtual)

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic that took place during 2020 and 2021, the project team held a Public Input Opportunity (in lieu of Public Meeting #4) virtually through the interactive web platform Social Pinpoint. Based on the format of the Public Input Opportunity, the project team has established minimum virtual meeting requirements.

In order to maximize public involvement, all virtual public meetings should offer identical materials and feedback avenues as would be provided at an in-person meeting. To give ample time for the public to review these materials and provide input, the virtual meeting comment period should be open for a minimum of four weeks from the date that the materials have been published. These materials include:

- Meeting presentation(s)
- Meeting exhibit(s)

All virtual meetings should also offer identical baseline feedback avenues including:

- Public survey
- Project email and phone number
- Project comment portal

In order to accommodate for the lost interaction between attendees, additional feedback channels should be considered. This may include a virtual interactive mapping tool or ideas wall, as utilized during the Public Input Opportunity.

4.4.1 Public Meeting (live virtual meetings)

In order to accommodate for lost interaction between attendees and the project team, a live portion to virtual public meetings should be considered. This format provides participants the ability to directly ask questions and receive clarification from the project team. Formats of a live virtual meeting may differ based on the context and status of the study, structure of the meeting, time allotment, and other variables. All agreed upon live virtual meetings should be conducive to creating an active and informative atmosphere for discussion between attendees and project team members. For more information regarding live virtual meeting formats, please see the appropriate virtual meeting format proposal (i.e. Public Input Opportunity and NTTS Community Meeting #3).

4.4 Meeting Announcements

- Meeting announcements will be advertised in the *Chicago Sun Times*, *Chicago Tribune*, *Hoy* and local community papers 15 days and 7 days in advance of the meetings. Public Hearing announcements will be advertised 30 days and 7 days in advance of the meeting.
- Advertisements will highlight topics and invite the general public to attend
- Ads will appear in English and Spanish
- Stakeholders and elected officials will be provided with information regarding upcoming meetings in an effort to supplement advertisements
- Meeting announcements will be posted on IDOT's, CDOT's and the project's website, Facebook site and Twitter
- Ads will be submitted for placement in CTA buses near the project area.

Spanish language interpreters will be available for those who require those services. Special accommodations will be utilized if requested in advance. Meeting announcement information will provide avenues to request those accommodations.

5. ADDITIONAL METHODS FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

There will be numerous avenues for the public to be active in the NLSD Project in addition to committee or public meeting activities. These include:

- Project website

- Virtual Public Meeting website
- Local events
- Social media
- E-newsletters
- Mailing list
- Email Listserv
- Project survey
- Speakers Bureau
- Project phone line (312-561-3140 ext. 101)
- Media outreach
- Response to public comments

5.1 Website

A project website (www.northlakeshoredrive.org) has been created. It contains updated project information, instructions on how to get involved, schedules and news, as well as a place to submit public comments. It also provides instructions on how to comment via other formats.

5.2 Virtual Public Meeting Website

Due to the COVID-19, the project team hosted the Public Input Opportunity (in lieu of Public Meeting #4) virtually through the interactive website platform Social Pinpoint (www.NLSDinput.org). The interactive website offered several engagement tools for participants to provide input and feedback, meeting exhibits, newsletter sign ups, and an opportunity to apply to become a member of the task force. The engagement tools included an ideas wall, an interactive mapping tool, and a public survey.

5.3 Local Events

During the Phase I process, the project team promoted the study at public events or at public facilities located within the project corridor. The goal of attending local events was to inform community members and stakeholders of the study and encourage them to participate in future meetings. Participants that engaged with the project team were also asked to sign up for the e-newsletter listserv.

5.4 Social Media

- Facebook – A NLSD project Facebook page is being used to communicate quickly with a mass audience and receive feedback. The Facebook site is www.facebook.com/NorthLakeShoreDrive.

- Twitter – A Twitter account is established and has links to it on the website and Facebook page. The Twitter account is [@n_Lakeshoredr](#).
- E-Newsletter – E- Newsletters will be an essential public information tool to communicate with stakeholders throughout the process. E- Newsletters will contain user-friendly information and illustrations. Distribution of newsletters will be timed to coordinate with public meetings. Any interested party can sign up for the newsletter by sending an email to info@northlakeshoredrive.org.

5.5 Newsletter/E-Newsletters

Throughout the study, the project team released numerous newsletters to the public that gave updates on various elements being evaluated and analyzed including, but not limited to, the Alternatives, proposed improvements, public involvement, general project updates, and other topics. Along with information, these newsletters contained user-friendly illustrations and graphics. Newsletters were an essential public information tool to communicate with stakeholders throughout the process. These newsletters were distributed between one to three times a year by mailing and/or through the marketing platform Constant Contact. Newsletters were also timed to coordinate with public meetings.

5.6 Mailing List

A comprehensive contact list of individuals and stakeholder groups will be generated and maintained. It will include such recipients as residents, elected officials, special interest groups, government agencies, and businesses and all committee members. The list will be updated throughout the project.

5.6 Email Listserv

A comprehensive contact list of individuals and stakeholders will be generated based upon on those who have voluntarily signed up through contacting the project email, during public meetings hosted by the project team, at local events, or are a part of the Task Force. This list will be maintained through the online marketing platform Constant Contact and will be updated when members unregister, or their email is no longer deliverable.

5.7 Project Survey

During the life of the study, public surveys will be developed in order to obtain input on the alternatives under consideration, proposed design improvements throughout the corridor, participants' use of the NLSL corridor, and other questions that pertain to the project's status.

Public surveys will be created and made available both in-person and online. All public surveys should be available for a designated time period that is clarified when distributed.

5.8 Speakers Bureau

The NLSD project team and various stakeholders will comprise a Speakers Bureau. These individuals will present project-related information to civic, business, community and other interested organizations. These meetings will occur as requested.

5.9 Phone Line (312-561-3140 ext. 101)

A telephone number has been established to allow the public to get information or express concerns. The phone number will be advertised in public notices, at meetings, on the website and in newsletters. Comments received via the phone line will be logged, reviewed and evaluated in the same manner as comments received at public meetings. The phone line will allow individuals to leave a message with a question or concern and the call will be returned by a project team member.

5.10 Media Outreach

Information about the project, particularly the public meetings, will be widely disseminated to major news organizations, community papers and special interest publications. Media monitoring will occur in order to capture all published/posted information on this project. A binder documenting all public media postings that the project produced or collected will be available for viewing at all public meetings.

5.11 Response to Public Comments

A centralized comment response management system will be implemented. The goal of this system is to provide a centralized, secure and electronically accessible repository for comments. It will be capable of categorizing the comment types and issues, tracking the comment responses and maintaining a comment record for the required environmental documentation. The system will also collect and maintain stakeholder contact information for the mailing list.

The PSG will respond to public inquiries in a timely manner. When groups of similar comments are received, general responses may be issued in lieu of individual responses.

6. TENTATIVE SCHEDULE OF PROJECT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES & STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT

This section describes the general project development process, project activities, and associated stakeholder involvement activities.

6.1 Step One - Stakeholder Identification, Development of the SIP, Project Scoping

This stage of the project development process begins the CSS process with various agency notifications, project organizational activities, and scoping activities. These activities include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Assemble and organize the PSG and project working groups (CPC, TF).
- Identify project Cooperating and Participating Agencies.
- Identify Section 106 Consulting Parties.
- NEPA/404 Agency Scoping Meeting - Resource Agencies to provide input on methodologies, level of detail, and identification of potential environmental resource issues.
- Develop and make the SIP available.
- Prepare a community context audit (PSG and project stakeholders). The context audit will identify unique community characteristics that contribute to the project's context that will be considered in the project development process.
- Organize and hold one-on-one meetings with aldermen and other elected officials.
- Conduct regulatory/resource agency EIS scoping activities.
- Organize and hold CPC/TF meetings or workshops. The purpose of the meetings is to identify study area issues/deficiencies and goals and objectives as well as to conduct scoping activities.
- Organize and hold the public kick-off meeting to inform stakeholders of the project process, defined study area, project history, identify study area issues/concerns and solicit participation.

6.2 Step Two - Understanding of Project Purpose and Need

The objective of this stage is to further clarify the transportation problems in the study area and utilize the goals and objectives to develop the project problem statement. Project purpose discussions will focus on providing stakeholders with background on known issues, such as safety and operational concerns for non-motorized modes of travels, transit facilities and automobile traffic in the study corridor. Issues raised by the project stakeholders will also be discussed. This will set the stage for meaningful discussions about potential solutions. The

information presented and collected will be used as the basis for the development of the project Purpose and Need Statement. Activities in this stage include the following:

- Commence with an informational meeting of the PSG and stakeholders to present the ground rules and to gather input towards the development of a clear statement of the transportation problems to address by the project.
- Organize and hold CPC/TF meetings.
- Achieve stakeholder consensus of the problem statement.
- Develop a project Purpose and Need Statement; provide opportunities for stakeholder review.
- Organize and hold public a meeting to present the known corridor issues/deficiencies and the draft Purpose and Need Statement for comment. Discuss and solicit potential alternatives that could address the Purpose and Need, and present the next steps of the study.
- Develop Section 106 Area of Potential Effect and coordinate with Section 106 consulting parties.
- NEPA/404 Agency coordination on the Purpose and Need Statement.

6.3 Step Three - Alternatives Workshop

A range of project alternatives will be considered to address the project Purpose and Need. The alternatives development process will be iterative in nature, providing progressively greater detail. Numerous opportunities will be provided for stakeholder input to the development and evaluation of alternatives. Steps in the alternatives development process include the following:

- Identification of alternative development procedures, planning and design guidelines, and alternative evaluation procedures. This information will serve as the general guidance for the alternatives development and evaluation process.
- Organize and hold multiple CPC/TF meetings to discuss and identify initial alternatives.

6.4 Step Four - Alternatives to be Considered

This milestone of the project consists of screening the list of suggested alternatives, to identify those alternatives that meet the project Purpose and Need. This milestone is intended to conclude with Alternatives to be Carried Forward.

- Evaluation of the initial alternatives.
- NEPA/404 - Resource Agency Coordination
- Organize and hold multiple CPC/TF meetings/workshops to discuss alternatives that meet Purpose and Need.

- Identification of Alternatives to Be Carried Forward.
- Evaluation of alternatives carried forward.
- Achieve stakeholder consensus on the alternatives.
- Agency update on the Alternatives to Be Carried Forward.
- Organize and hold public a meeting to present the Alternatives to Be Carried Forward and the screening methods.
- Identify historic properties within the project’s Area of Potential Effect and coordinate with section 106 consulting parties.

6.5 Step Five - Preferred Alternative

In this step, the Alternatives to Be Carried Forward are further developed to screen additional comprehensive environmental and design issues. These issues are summarized and presented to the stakeholders for their consideration, evaluation and input. This objective of this step is to achieve consensus on a single preferred alternative.

- Detailed evaluation of the alternatives carried forward.
- Organize and hold multiple CPC/TF meetings/workshops to present the evaluation findings and receive stakeholder feedback.
- Achieve stakeholder consensus on the Preferred Alternative.
- Agency concurrence with the Preferred Alternative through the NEPA/404 Merger Process.
- Organize and hold a public hearing to present the Draft EIS.
- Make Section 106 effect finding and coordinate with Section 106 consulting parties. If applicable, work with Section 106 consulting parties to resolve adverse effect.
- Preparation and publication of the Final EIS and issuance of the Record of Decision.

7. PLAN AVAILABILITY AND MONITORING UPDATES

This SIP is a dynamic document that will be available to stakeholders and updated as appropriate through the duration of the project. This section describes SIP stakeholder review opportunities and plan update procedures.

7.1 Availability of Stakeholder Involvement Plan

The PSG will make the SIP available to stakeholders for review at public meetings and on the project website. As the project proceeds, the PSG will update the SIP on a regular basis to reflect appropriate changes or additions. The PSG will advise stakeholders of future SIP updates and post updates on the project website.

7.2 Modification of Stakeholder Involvement Plan

The plan will be reviewed on a regular basis for continued effectiveness and updated as appropriate. Plan administration includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Maintaining a current list of project stakeholders.
- Maintaining a detailed public involvement record (log) that includes records of all stakeholder contacts, meetings, and comments.
- Ensuring two-way communication and timely responses to stakeholders through formal and informal channels.

Revisions to this SIP may be necessary throughout all phases of the project. The PSG will provide updated versions of the SIP to all agencies involved, as necessary. Updates to the SIP will be tracked in the Appendices.

Appendix A

Joint-Lead, Cooperation, and Participating Agencies

**Table A-1
Lead Agencies**

Agency Name	Role	Other Project Roles	Responsibilities
Federal Highway Administration	Lead Federal Agency	NEPA/404 Agency PSG	Manage environmental review process. Prepare EIS. Provide opportunity for public & participating/ cooperating agency involvement.
Illinois Department of Transportation	Joint-Lead Agency	NEPA/404 Agency PSG	Manage environmental review process. Prepare EIS. Provide opportunity for public & participating/ cooperating agency involvement. Collect & prepare transportation & environmental data. Manage CSS process.
Chicago Department of Transportation	Joint-Lead Agency	PSG	Manage environmental review process. Prepare EIS. Provide opportunity for public & participating/ cooperating agency involvement. Collect & prepare transportation & environmental data. Manage CSS process.

Table A-2
Cooperating Agencies and Agency Responsibilities

Note: This table contains an initial list of agencies invited to serve as Cooperating Agencies. This list and will be appended and updated as necessary.

Agency Name	Cooperating Agency Response	Other Project Roles	Responsibilities
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-Chicago District	Accepted	PA	Provide comments specific to USACE regulated areas including wetlands and waters of the US.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Accepted	PA	Provide comments of USEPA regulated areas regarding the environment and human health.
U.S. Department of the Interior Fish & Wildlife Service	Accepted	PA	Provide comments specific to Fish and Wildlife resources.
Illinois Department of Natural Resources	Accepted	PA	Provide comment and input on fish and wildlife resources; endangered and threatened species; natural areas and nature preserves; wetlands; prairies; and forests.
Illinois State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)			Provide comment specific to archaeological and historic resources.
Federal Transit Administration	Accepted	PA	Provide comment specific to transportation.
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency			Provide comments of IEPA regulated areas regarding the environment and human health.

PA = Participating Agency

Table A-3**Participating Agencies (PA) and Agency Responsibilities**

Note: This table contains an initial list of agencies invited to serve as Participating Agencies and will be appended and updated, as necessary.

Agency Name	Requested Role	Participating Agency Response	Other Project Role(s)	Responsibilities
Illinois State Geological Survey	PA			
Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning	PA	Accepted		Provide regional transportation planning and travel forecasting, and consistency with regional plans.
Regional Transportation Authority	PA	Accepted		Provide comments specific to transportation.
Metra	PA			
Chicago Transit Authority	PA			
PACE Suburban Bus	PA			
Chicago Park District	PA	Accepted	Section 106	
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways	PA	Accepted	Section 106	Provide comments specific to transportation.
Ho-Chunk Nation	PA			
Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	PA			
Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma	PA			
Citizens Potawatomi Nation	PA			
Forest County Potawatomi	PA			
Potawatomi Nation – Hannahville Indian Community	PA			
Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians	PA			
Prairie Band	PA			

Agency Name	Requested Role	Participating Agency Response	Other Project Role(s)	Responsibilities
Potawatomi Nation				
Sac and Fox Nation of Mississippi in Iowa	PA			
Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri	PA			
Sac and Fox Nation of Oklahoma	PA			

Table A-4
Agencies Declining Participating or Cooperating Agency Status

Note: This table will be updated as information becomes available.

Agency	Reason for Response
U.S. Coast Guard District 9	Modification to current facilities will not require a Coast Guard Bridge Permit.
Illinois Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Land and Water Resources	Project is located in urbanized area and agricultural land is not involved.
Illinois Natural Resources Conservation Service	Project is located in urbanized area.

Appendix B

Section 106 Consulting Parties

Table B-1
Section 106 Consulting Parties

Note: This table contains an initial list of organizations invited to serve as Section 106 Consulting Parties and will be appended and updated as necessary.

**Table B-1
Section 106 Consulting Parties**

Note: This table contains a list of individuals or groups who have been invited to become a Consulting Party.

Organization/Agency	First Name	Last Name	Address	City	State	Zip Code	Email
Buena Park Neighbors	Curt	Wiley	PO Box 13018	Chicago	IL	60613	general@buenaparkneighbors.org
Chicago Architecture Foundation	Lynn	Osmond	111 E. Wacker Dr.	Chicago	IL	60601	president@architecture.org
Chicago Cultural Alliance	Vanessa	Vergara	100 S. State St., 4th Floor	Chicago	IL	60603	vvergara@chicagoculturalalliance.org
Chicago Department of Planning and Development - Chicago Historic Preservation Division	Dijana	Cuvalo	121 N. LaSalle St., Room 1101	Chicago	IL	60602	Dijana.Cuvalo@CityOfChicago.org
Chicago Historical Society	Gary	Johnson	1601 North Clark Street	Chicago	IL	60614	gtjohnson@chicagohistory.org
Chicago History Museum	Gary	Johnson	1601 North Clark Street	Chicago	IL	60614	gtjohnson@chicagohistory.org
Chicago Park District	Michael P.	Kelly	541 N. Fairbanks	Chicago	IL	60611	michael.kelly@chicagoparkdistrict.com
Chicago Parks Foundation	Willa	Lang	541 N. Fairbanks	Chicago	IL	60611	wlang@chicagoparksfoundation.org
Chicago Harbors			1521 S. Linn Sr, 1st Fl S	Chicago	IL	60614	westrec@chicagoharbors.com

Clarendon Park Advisory Council	Melanie	Eckner	4501 N. Clarendon Ave.	Chicago	IL	60640	Clarendonparkadvisorycouncil@gmail.com
Commission on Chicago Landmarks	Rafel	Leon	121 N. LaSalle St., Room 1101	Chicago	IL	60602	landmarks@cityofchicago.org
Cultural Landscape Foundation	Charles	Birnbaum	1711 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 200	Washington	DC	20009	info@tclf.org
Edgewater Historical Society	Robert	Remer	5358 N. Ashland Ave.	Chicago	IL	60640	chibooks@aol.com
Friends of the Parks	Juanita	Irizarry	17 N State Street, Ste 1450	Chicago	IL	60602-3315	irizarryj@fotp.org
The Gold Coast Neighbors' Association	Vern	Broders	P.O. Box 101241	Chicago	IL	60610-1241	
Historic Bridges	Nathan	Holth	2575 Waldheim Drive	Port Huron	MI	48060	nathan@historicbridges.org
Landmarks Illinois	Lisa	DiChiera	30 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 2020	Chicago	IL	60602	ldichiera@landmarks.org
Lincoln Park Advisory Council	Ellen	Isaacson	2430 N. Cannon Drive	Chicago	IL	60657	lpacbdm2013@gmail.com
Lincoln Park Conservancy	Doug	Widener	2000 N Racine, Suite 4800	Chicago	IL	60614	dwidener@lincolnparkconservancy.org

Lincoln Park Conservancy Advisory Council							
Lincoln Park Cultural Center - Advisory Council	Briana	Skipper	2045 N. Lincoln Park West	Chicago	IL	60610	brianaskipper@gmail.com
Lincoln Park Zoo	Marybeth	Johnson	2001 North Clark Street	Chicago	IL	60614	mjohnson@lpzoo.org
National Trust for Historic Preservation	Jennifer	Sandy	53 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 350	Chicago	IL	60604	MSeidel@savingplaces.org
Northern Illinois Historic League	Bill	Kenney	1037 Boxwood Dr.	Crystal Lake	IL	60014	ihgdir@gmail.com
Preservation Chicago	Ward	Miller	4410 N. Ravenswood	Chicago	IL	60640	wmiller@preservationchicago.org
Ravenswood-Lake View Historical Association	Patrick	Butler	6728 N. Jean Avenue, Chicago, IL 60646	Chicago	IL	60625	President@Ravenswoodhistorical.com
Streeterville Organization of Active Residents	Deborah	Gershbein	244 East Pearson Street, Suite 102	Chicago	IL	60611	dgershbein@soarchicago.org
The James Charnley House (Charnley-Perski House Museum), The Frank Lloyd Wright Trust	Celeste	Adams	The Rookery 209 S LaSalle St, Ste 118	Chicago	IL	60604	cadams@gowright.org
The Magnificent Mile Association	Kimberly	Bares	625 North Michigan Avenue,	Chicago	IL	60611	kbares@themagnificentmile.com

			Suite 401				
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Chicago District - Regulatory	Kathleen	Chernich	231 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 1500	Chicago	IL	60604	Kathy.G.Chernich@usace.army.mil
Uptown Historical Society	Martin	Tangora	4636 N Magnolia Ave	Chicago	IL	60640	uptownhistoricalsociety@gmail.com

Table B-1a
Section 106 Consulting Parties – Accepted

Note: This table contains a list of individuals or groups who have accepted their invitation to become a Consulting Party.

Organization/Agency	First Name	Last Name	Address	City, State	Zip Code	Email
Chicago Parks Foundation	Willa	Lang	541 N. Fairbanks	Chicago, IL	60611	wlang@chicagoparksfoundation.org
Historic Bridges	Nathan	Holth	2575 Waldheim Drive	Port Huron	48060	nathan@historicbridges.org
Historic Preservation, Department of Planning and Development	Kandalyn	Hahn	121 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1000	Chicago, IL 60602	60602	Kandalyn.Hahn@cityofchicago.org
Illinois Historic District	Bill	Kenney	1037 Boxwood Drive	Crystal Lake, IL 60014	60014	ihgdir@gmail.com
Landmarks Illinois	Lisa	DiChiera	30 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 2020	Chicago, IL	60602	ldichiera@landmarks.org
Lincoln Park Advisory Council	Ellen	Isaacson	2430 N. Cannon Drive	Chicago, IL	60657	lpacbdm2013@gmail.com
Lincoln Park Zoo	Marybeth	Johnson	2001 North Clark Street	Chicago, IL	60614	mjohnson@lpzoo.org
The Magnificent Mile Association	Kimberly	Bares	625 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 401	Chicago, IL	60611	kbare@themagnificentmile.com
Uptown Historical Society	Martin	Tangora	4636 N Magnolia Ave	Chicago, IL	60640	uptownhistoricalsociety@gmail.com

Table B-2
Section 106 Declining Parties

Note: This table will be updated as information becomes available.

Agency Name	Reason for Declining
Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning	Historic and archaeological resources are not a special area of expertise.

Appendix C

Project Study Group Members

**Table C-1
Project Study Group Members**

First	Last	Title	Agency	Street Address	City, State, Zip	Email
John	MacManus	Principal	Altamanu	1700 W. Irving Park Road, Suite 202	Chicago, IL 60613	john@altamanu.com
Lars	Barber	Principal	Baird	2981 Yarmouth Greenway Dr.	Madison, WI 53711	lbarber@baird.com
Peter	Harmet	Senior Project Manager	CBBEL	9575 W. Higgins Road, Suite 600	Rosemont, IL 60018	pharmet@cbbel.com
Melissa	McGhee	Project Manager	CBBEL	9575 W. Higgins Road, Suite 600	Rosemont, IL 60018	mmcghee@cbbel.com
Jason	Biernat	Planning Section Leader	Chicago Department of Transportation	2 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 950	Chicago, IL 60602	jason.biernat@gmail.com >
Dan	Burke	Deputy Commissioner	Chicago Department of Transportation	2 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 950	Chicago, IL 60602	dan.burke@cityofchicago.org
Vig	Krishnamurthy	Deputy Commissioner – Project Development	Chicago Department of Transportation	2 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 950		
Vasile	Jurca	Civil Engineer	Chicago Department of Transportation	2 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 820	Chicago, IL 60602	vasile.jurica@cityofchicago.org
Nathan	Roseberry	Assistant Chief Highway Engineer	Chicago Department of Transportation	2 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 820	Chicago, IL 60602	Nathan.Roseberry@cityofchicago.org
Jeff	Sriver	Director, Transportation Planning and Programming	Chicago Department of Transportation	2 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 950	Chicago, IL 60602	jeffrey.sriver@cityofchicago.org
Brendan	Daley	Director of Strategy & Sustainability	Chicago Park District	541 N. Fairbanks	Chicago, IL 60611	brendan.daley@chicagoparkdistrict.com

Heather	Gleason	Chicago Park District	Chicago Park District	541 N. Fairbanks	Chicago, IL 60611	heather.gleason@chicagoparkdistrict.com
Michael	Lange	Project Manager	Chicago Park District	542 N. Fairbanks	Chicago, IL 60612	michael.lange@chicagoparkdistrict.com
Clem	Taylor	Special Projects Assistant	Chicago Park District	543 N. Fairbanks	Chicago, IL 60613	Clem.Taylor@ChicagoParkDistrict.com
Sarah	White	Lakefront Planning Coordinator	Chicago Park District	544 N. Fairbanks	Chicago, IL 60614	Sarah.White@ChicagoParkDistrict.com
Mike	Connelly	Vice President, Planning	Chicago Transit Authority	567 W. Lake Street	Chicago, IL 60661	mconnelly@transitchicago.com
Jon	Czerwinski	Director of Scheduling	Chicago Transit Authority	567 W. Lake Street	Chicago, IL 60661	jczerwinski@transitchicago.com
Jennifer	Henry	Planner, Strategic Planning & Policy	Chicago Transit Authority	567 W. Lake Street	Chicago, IL 60661	jennifer.henry@transitchicago.com
Leah	Mooney	Director, Strategic Planning & Policy	Chicago Transit Authority	567 W. Lake Street	Chicago, IL 60661	lmooney@transitchicago.com
Nicholas	Smith	Senior Manager, Service Planning	Chicago Transit Authority	567 W. Lake Street	Chicago, IL 60661	nsmith@transitchicago.com
Robert	Andres	Principal Engineer	Civiltech Engineering, Inc	450 E. Devon Ave, Suite 300	Itasca, IL 60143	randres@civiltechinc.com
Mike	Folkening	Director of the Chicago Office	Civiltech Engineering, Inc	30 N. LaSalle St, Suite 2624	Chicago, IL 60602	mfolkening@civiltechinc.com
Phil	Hutchinson	Landscape Architect	Civiltech Engineering, Inc	30 N. LaSalle St, Suite 2624	Chicago, IL 60602	phutchinson@civiltech.com
Amanda	Kleinwachter	Project Manager	Civiltech Engineering, Inc	30 N. LaSalle St, Suite 2624	Chicago, IL 60602	akleinwachter@civiltech.com
Jim	Woods	Project Manager	Civiltech Engineering, Inc	450 E. Devon Ave, Suite 300	Itasca, IL 60143	jwoods@civiltechinc.com

Mary	Young	Director of Traffic, Environmental and Design Studies	CivilTech Engineering, Inc	450 E. Devon Ave, Suite 300	Itasca, IL 60143	myoung@civilttech.com
Maurice	Cox	Commissioner	Department of Planning and Development	30 N. LaSalle Street, Ste. 500	Chicago, IL 60602	maurice.cox@cityofchicago.org
Chris	Byars	Transportation Engineer	Federal Highway Administration	3250 Executive Park Drive	Springfield, IL 62703	chris.byars@dot.gov
Lori	Brown	Project Manager	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	lori.s.brown@illinois.gov
Salmon	Danmole	Bureau Chief of Local Roads and Streets	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	salmon.danmole@illinois.gov
Dwayne	Ferguson	Civil Engineer	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	Dwayne.Ferguson@illinois.gov
Zubair	Haider	Field Engineer	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	zubair.haider@illinois.gov
Tania	Muller	Civil Engineer	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	Tania.Muller@illinois.gov
Kimberly	Murphy	Consultant Studies Unit Head	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	Kimberly.Murphy@illinois.gov
Theresa	Pelletier	Project Engineer	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	Theresa.Pelletier@Illinois.gov
Jacob	Roth	Project Engineer	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	jacob.roth@illinois.gov
Jason	Salley	Acting Geometrics Unit Head	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	jason.salley@illinois.gov
Steve	Schilke	Civil Engineer	Illinois Department of Transportation	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	Steven.Schilke@illinois.gov

Marie	Glynn	Senior Project Manager	Illinois Department of Transportation/Jacobs Engineering	201 W. Center Court	Schaumburg, IL 60196	Marie.Glynn@illinois.gov
Srikanth	Panguluri	Senior Technologist	Jacobs Engineering	525 W Monroe St #1600	Chicago, IL 60661	Srikanth.Panguluri@Illinois.gov
Lissa	Sweeney	Director	Metro Strategies, Inc	17 N. State Street, Suite 850	Chicago, IL 60602	lsweeney@metrostrategie sinc.com
Elli	Cosky	Senior Project Manager	Metro Strategies, Inc	17 N. State Street, Suite 850	Chicago, IL 60602	ecosky@metrostrategiesinc.com
Kyle	Duff	Project Associate	Metro Strategies, Inc	17 N. State Street, Suite 850	Chicago, IL 60602	kduff@metrostrategiesinc.com
Michael	Groh	Senior Transit Planner	Sam Schwartz Engineering	223 W. Jackson, Suite 1101	Chicago, IL 60606	mgroh@samschwartz.com
Stacey	Meekins	Principal	Sam Schwartz Engineering	223 W. Jackson, Suite 1101	Chicago, IL 60606	smeekins@samschwartz.com

Appendix D

Corridor Planning Committee Members

**Table D-1
Corridor Planning Committee Members**

First	Last	Title	Agency	Street Address	City, State, Zip	Email
Erin	Aleman	Executive Director	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning	233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800	Chicago, IL 60606	ealeman@cmap.illinois.gov
Bob	Appleman	Acting Division Manager	Illinois Historic Preservation Agency	One Natural Resources Way	Springfield, IL 62702-1271	Bob.Appleman@illinois.gov
Mary Sue	Barrett	President	Metropolitan Planning Council	140 S. Dearborn, Suite 1400	Chicago, IL 60603	msbarrett@metroplanning.org
Claire	Bozic	Senior Analyst	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning	233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800	Chicago, IL 60606	cbozic@cmap.illinois.gov
Elizabeth	Breisetht	Environmental Protection Specialist	Federal Transit Administration	200 West Adams Street, Suite 320	Chicago, IL 60606	elizabeth.breisetht@dot.gov
Ally	Brisbin	Economic Development and Communications Director	Office of the Honorable Alderman Harry Osterman, 48th Ward	5533 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago, IL 60640	ally@48thward.org
Stasi	Brown	Project Manager	US Army Corps of Engineers	231 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 1500	Chicago, IL 60604	stasi.f.brown@usace.army.mil
David	Bucaro	Outreach Manager	US Army Corps of Engineers	231 S La Salle, Suite 1500	Chicago, IL 60604	david.f.bucaro@usace.army.mil
Suellen	Burns	Senior Advisor - Urban Communities and Partnerships	Illinois Department of Natural Resources			Suellen.Burns@Illinois.gov
James	Cappleman	Alderman	Office of the Honorable Alderman James Cappleman, 46th Ward	4544 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago, IL 60640	info@james46.org
James	Casey	Civil Engineer, Division of Water Resources Mgmt.	Illinois Department of Natural Resources	160 N La Salle St, Suite S-700	Chicago, IL 60601	james.casey@illinois.gov

Shawn	Cirton	Fish and Wildlife Biologist/Federal Activities	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	1250 South Grove, Suite 103	Barrington, IL 60010	Shawn_Cirton@fws.gov
Susanne	Davis	Chief, Planning Branch	Chicago District, USACE	111 N. Canal St, Floor 6	Chicago, IL 60606	susanne.j.davis@usace.army.mil
Justin	DeWitt	Chief of Staff	Illinois Department of Public Health	535 W. Jefferson Street, Floor 5	Springfield, IL 62761	Justin.Dewitt@illinois.gov
Tressa	Feher	Chief of Staff	Office of the Honorable Alderman James Cappleman, 46th Ward	4544 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago IL 60640	tressa@james46.org
Gerardo	Garcia	Coordinating Planner	Chicago Department of Planning and Development	121 N. LaSalle Street, 10th Floor	Chicago, IL 60602	Gerardo.Garcia@cityofchicago.org
Maria	Hadden	Alderman	Office of the Honorable Alderman Maria Hadden 49th Ward	1447 W. Morse Avenue	Chicago, IL 60626	maria.hadden@cityofchicago.org
Stephen	Hagerty	Mayor	City of Evanston	2100 Ridge Ave.	Evanston, IL 60201	shagerty@cityofevanston.org
Soren	Hall	Senior Project Manager, Cook County, Lake Michigan	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	111 North Canal St., 6th Floor	Chicago, IL 60606	soren.g.hall@usace.army.mil
Jeffrey	Heath	Project Manager	US Army Corps of Engineers	231 S La Salle, Suite 1500	Chicago, IL 60604	jeffrey.b.heath@usace.army.mil
Brian	Hopkins	Alderman, 2nd Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Brian Hopkins 2nd Ward	1400 N Ashland Ave.	Chicago, IL 60622	ward02@cityofchicago.org
Jessica	Hyink	Transportation & Mobility Coordinator	City of Evanston	2100 Ridge Ave.	Evanston, IL 60201	jhyink@cityofevanston.org
Juanita	Irizarry	President	Friends of the Parks	17 N. State St	Chicago, IL 60602	irizarryj@fotp.org

Robert	Kearney	Director of Community Relations	Office of the Honorable Alderman Brendan Reilly, 42nd Ward	325 W. Huron, Suite 510	Chicago, IL 60654	robert@ward42chicago.com
Shola	Kehinde	Constituent Service Manager	Office of the Honorable Alderman Brendan Reilly, 42nd Ward	325 W. Huron, Suite 510	Chicago, IL 60654	shola@ward42.org
John	Kim	Director	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	1021 North Grand Avenue East	Springfield, IL 62794-9276	john.j.kim@illinois.gov
Kathleen	Kowal	Life Scientist	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	77 W. Jackson Boulevard	Chicago, IL 60604	kowal.kathleen@epa.gov
Stephanie	Levine	Project Manager, Engineering	City of Evanston	2100 Ridge Ave.	Evanston, IL 60201	slevine@cityofevanston.org
John	Lockerby	Village Manager	Village of Skokie	5127 Oakton Street	Skokie, IL 60077	john.lockerby@skokie.org
Rachel	Leibowitz		Illinois Historic Preservation Agency			HPA.ProjectsBox@illinois.gov
Daniel	Luna	Chief of Staff	Office of the Honorable Alderman Harry Osterman, 48th Ward	5533 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago, IL 60640	dan@48thward.org
Adam	Lund	President	Friends of Downtown	PO Box 1635	Chicago IL 60690	adamlund@perkinswil.com
Dan	Manoli	Director of Infrastructure	Office of the Honorable Alderman Thomas Tunney, 44th Ward	1057 W. Belmont Ave.	Chicago, IL 60657	dionisos.manoli@cityofchicago.org
Bonnie	McDonald	President	Landmarks Illinois	53 W. Jackson Blvd., Ste. 1315	Chicago, IL 60604	McDonaldB@lpci.org
Harry	Osterman	Alderman, 48th Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Harry Osterman, 48th Ward	5533 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago, IL 60640	harry@48thward.org
Sheila	Pacione	Director of Infrastructure and Constituent Services	Office of the Honorable Alderman Michele Smith, 43rd Ward	2523 N Halsted	Chicago, IL 60614	sheila@ward43.org

Jessica	Papp	Director of Community Affairs and Special Events	Office of the Honorable Alderman Thomas Tunney, 44th Ward	1057 W. Belmont Ave.	Chicago, IL 60657	Jessica.Papp@cityofchicago.org
Liz	Pellosso	NEPA Reviewer	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	77 W. Jackson Boulevard	Chicago, IL 60604	pellosso.elizabeth@epa.gov
Leslie	Perkins	Chief of Staff	Office of the Honorable Alderman Maria Hadden 49th Ward	1447 W. Morse Avenue	Chicago, IL 60626	leslie.perkins2@cityofchicago.org
Stephen	Pickard	Chief Warrant Officer	US Coast Guard Station Calumet Harbor	4001 E 98th Street	Chicago, IL 60617	Stephen.A.Pickard@uscg.mil
Brendan	Reilly	Alderman, 42nd Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Brendan Reilly, 42nd Ward	325 W. Huron, Suite 510	Chicago, IL 60654	Brendan.Reilly@cityofchicago.org
Lisa	Ryan	Chief of Staff	Office of the Honorable Alderman Bfrian Hopkins 2nd Ward	1400 N Ashland Ave.	Chicago, IL 60622	Lisa.Ryan2@cityofchicago.org
Amy	Rynell	Executive Director	Active Transportation Alliance	9 W. Hubbard, Suite 402	Chicago, IL 60654	amy@activetrans.org
Timothy	Sampey	Deputy Fire Commissioner	Chicago Fire Department District 1			Timothy.Sampey@cityofchicago.org
Michele	Smith	Alderman, 43rd Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Michele Smith, 43rd Ward	2523 N Halsted	Chicago, IL 60614	yourvoice@ward43.org
Heidi	Sperry		Chicago Department of Planning and Development	121 N. LaSalle Street, 10th Floor	Chicago, IL 60602	Heidi.Sperry@cityofchicago.org
Emily	Thrun		Chicago Department of Planning and Development			Emiliy.Thrun@cityofchicago.org
Dave	Tomzik	Manager, Long Range Planning	Pace Suburban Bus Service	550 W. Algonquin Rd	Arlington Heights, IL 60005	David.Tomzik@Pacebus.com

Thomas	Tunney	Alderman	Office of the Honorable Alderman Thomas Tunney, 44th Ward	1057 W. Belmont Ave.	Chicago, IL 60657	ttunney@cityofchicago.org
George	Van Dusen	Mayor	Village of Skokie	5127 Oakton Street	Skokie, IL 60077	george.vandusen@skokie.org
Audrey	Wennink	Director	Metropolitan Planning Council	140 S. Dearborn, Suite 1400	Chicago, IL 60603	awennink@metroplanning.org
Ken	Westlake	Deputy Director	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	77 W. Jackson Boulevard	Chicago, IL 60604	westlake.kenneth@epa.gov
Kyle	Whitehead	Managing Director of Public Affairs	Active Transportation Alliance	9 W. Hubbard, Suite 402	Chicago, IL 60654	Kyle@activetrans.org
Laura	Wilkison	Deputy Executive Director	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning	233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 800	Chicago, IL 60606	lwilkison@cmap.illinois.gov
Loren	Wobig	Director	Illinois Department of Natural Resources	One Natural Resources Way	Springfield, IL 62702	loren.wobig@illinois.gov
Virginia	Yang	Deputy Counsel	Illinois Department of Natural Resources	100 W Randolph, 4th Floor	Chicago, IL 60601	virginia.yang@illinois.gov

Appendix E

Task Force Membership

**Table E-1
Environment & Park Users Task Force**

Organization	Title	First Name	Last Name	Street Address	City, State, Zip	Email
Environmental Law and Policy Center	Deputy Director	Kevin	Brubaker	35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600	Chicago, IL 60601	kbrubaker@elpc.org
The Nature Conservancy	State Director	Michelle	Carr	8 S. Michigan Avenue	Chicago, IL 60603	mcarr@tnc.org
Chicago Department of Public Health	Grant Development Coordinator, Coordinating Planner	Ann	Cibulskis	333 S State, 2nd Floor	Chicago, IL 60604	ann.cibulskis@cityofchicago.org
		Jerri	Cohen			
Clarendon Park Advisory Council		Melanie	Eckner			melanie.eckner@gmail.com
Illinois Department of Health	Director	Ngozi	Ezike	535 W. Jefferson St., Floor 5	Springfield, IL 62761	ngozi.ezike@illinois.gov
		Patty	Frost			
		Nicholas	Haas			
		Kevin	Harrington			
		Laurie	Hillman			
CARA	Executive Director	Greg	Hipp	549 West Randolph Suite 704	Chicago, IL 60661	greg@cararuns.org

Chicago Corinthian Yacht Club	Commodore	Natalie	Holliday	601 W. Montrose Avenue	Chicago, IL 60640	commodore@corinthian.org
Lincoln Park Advisory Council	Supervisor	Ellen	Isaacson	2430 N. Cannon Drive	Chicago, IL 60614	lpacbdm2013@gmail.com
Lincoln Park Zoo	Vice President	Marybeth	Johnson	2001 North Clark Street	Chicago, IL 60614	mjohnson@lpzoo.org
		Helen	Kessler			
MWRD	Senior Civil Engineer	Lynn	Kohlhaas	111 E Erie Street	Chicago, IL 60611	lynn.kohlhaas@gmail.com
Mayor's Fishing Advisory Committee		Chester	Kropidlowski			ckropidlow@aol.com
		Natalie	Laczek			
Peggy Notebaert Nature Museum	President	Deborah	Lahey	2430 N. Cannon Drive	Chicago, IL 60614	dlahey@naturemuseum.org
Lakeside Area Neighbors Association		Marianne	LaLonde			marianne.lalonde@gmail.com
		Amy	Lardner			
		Eli	Lechter			
		Ted	Ledford			
		Jonathon	Markel			
		Sarah	Meyer			
Jarvis Bird Sanctuary	Steward	Charlotte	Newfeld	3550 N Lake Shore Drive	Chicago, IL 60613	cnewfeld@sbcglobal.net

Lincoln Park Boat Club	Treasurer	Will	Randall	2341 N. Cannon Drive	Chicago, IL 60614	treasurer@lpbc.net
		Bob	Remer			
		Trish	VanderBeke			
Chicago Yachting Association		Walter	Vartan	3700 Greenleaf Street	Skokie, IL 60076	thevartans@aol.com
		Andrew	Vesselinovitch			
		John	Ward			
		Nancy	Warren			
NRDC	Deputy Chief Program Officer and Managing Director, Nature Program	Andrew	Wetzler	20 N. Wacker Dr, Suite 1600	Chicago, IL 60606	awetzler@nrdc.org
Lincoln Park Conservancy	Executive Director	Doug	Widener	2000 N Racine, Suite 2080	Chicago, IL 60614	dwidener@lincolnparkconservancy.org
NRDC	Director, Midwest Region	Samantha	Williams	20 N. Wacker Dr, Suite 1600	Chicago, IL 60606	swilliams@nrdc.org

**Table E-2
Transportation Task Force**

Organization	Title	First Name	Last_Name	Street Address	City, State, Zip	Email
		Rick	Bak			
Cook County DOTH	Bureau Chief of Design	Noel	Basquin	69 W. Washington, #2100	Chicago IL 60602	Noel.Basquin@cookcountyil.gov
		Paul	Bengino			
		Michael	Dannhauser			
Mayor's Office of Persons with Disabilities	Acting Commissioner	Laurie	Dittman	121 N. LaSalle, Room 104	Chicago, IL 60607	laurie.dittman@cityofchicago.org
Regional Transportation Authority	Strategic Planning	Peter	Fahrenwald	175 W. Jackson Blvd, Ste. 1650	Chicago, IL 60604	fahrenwaldp@rtachicago.org
		Janine	Farzin			
		Julian	Franklin			
		Charlotte	Frei			
Cook County DOTH	Transit Manager	Benet	Haller	121 N. LaSalle St, 10th FL	Chicago, IL 60602	benet.haller@cookcountyil.gov
		Chris	Kleihege			
		Doug	Knuth			
Chicago Streetcar Renaissance		John	Krause	2754 N Hampden Ct #804	Chicago, IL 60614	jck@chicagostreetcar.com
		Maya	Lea			
		Charles	Papanek			
		Scott	Petersen			

Regional Transportation Authority		Mark	Pitstick	175 W. Jackson Blvd, Ste. 1650	Chicago, IL 60604	PitstickM@RTACHICAGO.ORG
Chicago Police Department	Lieutenant	Misael	Ramirez			Misael.Ramirez@chicagopolice.org
Illinois Emergency Management Agency	Director	Alicia	Tate-Nadeau	2200 S. Dirksen Pkway	Springfield, IL 62703	
Office of Emergency Management & Communications, Operations Center and TMA	Engineering Tech	Darin	Taylor	121 N. LaSalle	Chicago IL 60602	darin.taylor@cityofchicago.org
		Nancy	Wallace			
		Killian	Walsh			
		Susan	Zabriskie			

**Table E-3
Business & Institution Task Force**

Businesses and Institutions	Title	First Name	Last Name	Street Address	City, State, Zip	Email
Chamber/Business Owner						
Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce	Director of Community Development	Frank	Kryzak	2468 N. Lincoln Avenue	Chicago, IL 60614	frank@lincolnparkchamber.com
Lincoln Park Chamber of Commerce	President & CEO	Kim	Schilf	2469 N. Lincoln Avenue	Chicago, IL 60614	kim@lincolnparkchamber.com
Edgewater Chamber of Commerce	Executive Director	Christina	Pfizinger	1210 W. Rosedale	Chicago, IL 60660	christina@edgewater.org
Streeterville Chamber of Commerce				233 E Erie Street, Suite 603	Chicago, IL 60611	info@StreetervilleBusinessAlliance.org
Lakeview Chamber of Commerce	Executive Director	Dillon	Goodson	1409 W. Addison	Chicago, IL 60613	dgoodson@lakeviewchamber.com
Lakeview East Chamber of Commerce	Executive Director	Maureen	Martino	3138 North Broadway	Chicago, IL 60657	maureen@lakevieweast.com
The Magnificent Mile Association	President and CEO	Kimberly	Bares	625 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 401	Chicago, IL 60611	kbares@themagnificentmile.com
Rogers Park Business Alliance	Executive Director	Sandi	Price	1448 W. Morse Ave.	Chicago IL 60626	sprice@rpba.org
Chicagoland Chamber of Commerce	Executive Director	Jack	Lavin	201 E. Randolph Street, Ste. 2200	Chicago, IL 60602	jlavin@chicagolandchamber.org
River North Business Association		Pam	Tuggle	330 N.	Chicago,	ptuggle@atproperties.com

Ltd.				Wabash Avenue	IL 60654	
Andersonville Chamber of Commerce	Executive Director	Sarah	Dinges	5217 N. Clark Street, #3	Chicago, IL 60640	sdinges@andersonville.org
University/Schools/ Hospitals						
Loyola University Hospital	Associate Vice President of Campus and Community Planning	Jennifer	Clark	6439 N Sheridan Rd, Granada Ctr, Rm 220	6439 N Sheridan Rd, Granada Ctr, Rm 220	Jclark7@luc.edu
Francis Parker School	Director of Facilities	Richard	Dusing	330 West Webster	Chicago IL 60614	rdusing@fwparker.org
The Latin School	Director of Operations	Chanel	King	59 W. North Blvd	Chicago, IL 60610	cking@latinschool.org
Northwestern U/NU Medical Center/RIC/Lurie Children's Hospital	Transportation Consultant	Peter	Lemmon	111 W Jackson Blvd #700	Chicago IL 60604	peter.lemmon@kimley-horn.com
Museum of Contemporary Art	Director, Media Relations	Karla	Loring	220 East Chicago Ave	Chicago IL 60611	kloring@mcachicago.org
University of Chicago (Streeterville Campus)	Director of University Initiatives	Joshua	Anderson	1313 W. 60 th Street Suite 101	Chicago, IL 60637	joshua@uchicago.edu
Disney Magnet School	Principal	Paul	Riskus	4140 N. Marine Drive	Chicago, IL 60613	priskus@cps.edu
Other						
Chicago Cubs	Assistant	Heather	Way Kitzes	Wrigley	Chicago,	HWayKitzes@cubs.com

	Director, Government & Community Affairs			Field, 1060 W. Addison	IL 60613	
		Paul	Arnold	566 W Lake Street, Suite 320	Chicago, IL 60614	parnold@feathergrp.com
		Gary	DeClark	566 W Lake Street, Suite 240	Chicago, IL 60615	gdeclark@valbridge.com
WestRec Marinas		Scott	Stevenson			sstevenson@westrecchicago.com
Park Concession Management	General Manager	Tracy	McKenzie	321 N. Clark Street, Suite 3400	Chicago, IL 60657	tracy.mckenzie@cbre.com
Billy Casper Golf	Regional Director of Operations	Bill	Colgan	3600 N. Recreation Drive	Chicago, IL 60613	bcolgan@billycaspergolf.com
Streeterville Organization of Active Residents		Judy	Aiello	244 East Pearson Street, Suite 102	Chicago, IL 60611	jaiellofantus97@gmail.com
Streeterville Organization of Active Residents	President	Deborah	Gershbein	244 East Pearson Street, Suite 102	Chicago, IL 60611	dgershbein@soarchicago.org
Uptown United	Executive Director	Martin	Sorge	4753 N Broadway, Suite 822	Chicago, IL 60640	martin@exploreuptown.org
Weiss Hospital	Director of Medical Education	Terry	Tuohy	4646 N Marine Drive	Chicago, IL 60640	ttuohy@weisshospital.com

Navy Pier, Inc.	Executive VP & General Counsel	Daniel	Blondin	600 E. Grand Avenue	Chicago, IL 60611	dblondin@navypier.com
-----------------	--------------------------------------	--------	---------	---------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

**Table E-4
Residential Task Force – South**

First Name	Last Name
Curt	Bailey
David	Cameron
George	Dohrmann, III, MD, PHD
Andrew	Herman
Sarah	Maritato
William	Marovitz
Allan	Mellis
Howard	Melton
Thomas	Murphy
Grace	Rappe
Louis	Rosenthal
Gail	Spreen
Michelle	Stenzel
Michael	Toolis

**Table E-5
Residential Task Force – Central**

First Name	Last Name
Trina	Grieshaber
Nancy	Juda
Ellen	Karp
Arden	Nagler
Brian	Nagorsky
Jerry	Ruiz
Susan	Salpeter
John	Schmidt
Ricca	Slone
Tim	Weddle
Howard	Zitman

Table E-6
Residential Task Force – North

First Name	Last Name
Betsy	Covell
Gary	Davis
James	Faught III
Thom	Greene
Ward	Miller
Norman	Kaeseberg
Bryce	Sabin
Betty	Terry-Lundy

Appendix F

Elected Officials

Elected Officials

First Name	Last Name	Title	Office	Street Address	City, State, Zip
Brian	Hopkins	Alderman, 2nd Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Brian Hopkins	1400 N Ashland Ave.	Chicago, IL 60622
Brendan	Reilly	Alderman, 42nd Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Brendan Reilly, 42nd Ward	325 W. Huron, Suite 510	Chicago, IL 60654
James	Cappleman	Alderman, 46th Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman James Cappleman, 46th Ward	4544 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago, IL 60640
Harry	Osterman	Alderman, 48th Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Harry Osterman, 48th Ward	5533 N. Broadway Ave.	Chicago, IL 60640
Thomas	Tunney	Alderman, 44th Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Thomas Tunney, 44th Ward	1057 W. Belmont Ave.	Chicago, IL 60657
Michele	Smith	Alderman, 43rd Ward	Office of the Honorable Alderman Michele Smith, 43rd Ward	735 W. Wrightwood Ave.	Chicago, IL 60614
Bridget	Gainer	Cook County Commissioner	Office of the Cook County Commissioner Bridget Gainer, District 10	5533 N. Broadway	Chicago, IL 60640
Robert	Steele	Cook County Commissioner	Office of the Cook County Commissioner Robert Steele, District 2	3936 W. Roosevelt Road, 1st Floor	Chicago, IL 60624
Toni	Preckwinkle	President of the Cook County Board	Office of the President of the Cook County Board	118 N. Clark Street Room 537	Chicago, IL 60602
Kelly	Cassidy	IL House of Representative	Office of the Honorable State Rep. Kelly M. Cassidy, District 14	5533 N. Broadway	Chicago, IL 60640
Sara	Feigenholtz	IL House of Representative	Office of the Honorable State Rep. Sara Feigenholtz, District 12	1051 W. Belmont Ave	Chicago, IL 60657

Kwame	Raoul	Illinois State Senator	Office of the Honorable State Senator Kwame Raoul, District 13	1509 E. 53rd Street, 2nd Floor	Chicago IL 60615
Christian	Mitchell	IL House of Representatives	Office of the Honorable State Rep. Christian L Mitchell District 26	449 E. 35th Street	Chicago IL 60616
Heather	Steans	Illinois State Senator	Office of the Honorable State Sen. Heather Steans, District 7	5533 North Broadway	Chicago, IL 60640
John	Cullerton	President of the Illinois Senate	Office of the Honorable State Sen. John Cullerton, District 6	1726 W. Belmont	Chicago, IL 60657
Danny	Davis	U.S. House of Representative	Office of the Honorable U.S. Rep. Danny K. Davis, District 7	2746 West Madison Street	Chicago, IL 60612
Janice	Schakowsky	U.S. House of Representative	Office of the Honorable U.S. Rep. Janice Schakowsky, District 9	5533 Broadway	Chicago, IL 60640
Mike	Quigley	U.S. House of Representative	Office of the Honorable U.S. Rep. Mike Quigley, District 5	1057 W. Belmont Ave.	Chicago, IL 60657
Tammy	Duckworth	U.S. Senator	Office of the Honorable U.S. Sen. Tammy Duckworth	230 S. Dearborn, Ste.	Chicago, IL 60604
Richard	Durbin	U.S. Senator	Office of the Honorable U.S. Sen. Richard Durbin	230 S. Dearborn, Ste. 3892	Chicago, IL 60604

Appendix G

General Stakeholders

General Stakeholders

American Society of Civil Engineers
American Council of Engineering Companies
Belmont-Central Chamber of Commerce
Black Contractors United
Chicago Federation of Labor
Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways
Cosmopolitan Chamber of Commerce
Edgebrook Chamber of Commerce
Edison Park Chamber of Commerce
Federal Reserve Bank Of Chicago
Federation of Women Contractors
Gladstone Park Chamber of Commerce
Greater Avondale Chamber of Commerce
Greater Northwest Chicago Development Corp.
Hispanic-American Construction Industry Association
Illinois Black Chamber of Commerce
Illinois Department of Natural Resources
Illinois Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
Illinois Road and Transportation Builders Association
Illinois State Chamber of Commerce
Jefferson Park Chamber of Commerce
Kedzie/Elston Business and Industrial Council

Lincoln Bend Chamber of Commerce
Lincoln Square Chamber of Commerce
Local Economic & Employment Development Council
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District
North Business & Industrial Council – NORBIC
North Center Chamber of Commerce
North Pulaski Armitage Chamber of Commerce
Norwood Park Chamber of Commerce
Old Irving Park Association
Old Town Merchants & Residents Association
Peterson Pulaski Business & Industrial Council
Philippine American Chamber of Commerce
Portage Park Chamber of Commerce
Pulaski Elston Business Association
Rogers Park Business Alliance
Rogers Park CDC
Rogers Park Community Development Corp
Roscoe Village Chamber of Commerce
Sauganash Chamber of Commerce
South Loop Chamber
West Ridge Chamber of Commerce
Women-Eye, Inc.
Women's Business Development Center
World Business Chicago
Women's Transportation Study

Council for Jewish Elderly
Illinois Office of Tourism
Northwest Municipal Conference
West Central Municipal Conference
Southwest Municipal Conference
South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association
DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference
Kane/Kendall Council of Mayors
Lake Council of Mayors
Will County Governmental League
McHenry Council of Governments
McHenry Council of Governments
Metro West Council of Governments

Appendix H

Stakeholder Involvement Plan Revisions

Stakeholder Involvement Plan Revisions

Version	Date	Noted Revisions
v.1.1	February 18, 2013	First publication to Project Study Group
v.1.2	March 2, 2013	Revised per initial CDOT comments
v.1.3	March 16, 2013	Revised per follow-up CDOT comments
v.1.4	March 20, 2013	Updated recommended task force groups
v.1.5	March 26, 2013	Updated language regarding CPC Meeting #1
v.1.6	April 16, 2013	Updated stakeholder graphic
v.1.7	May 1, 2013	Revised per IDOT comments
v.1.8	May 3, 2013	Revised Project Study Group language
v.1.9	May 8, 2013	Modified project logo
v.1.10	July 10, 2013	Clarified who project decision makers are
v.1.11	July 21, 2013	Clarified role of CPC
v.1.12	July 29, 2013	Website information added and posted to website
v.1.13	September 19, 2013	Updated Public Meeting #1 information
v.1.14	November 20, 2013	Outlined Final Task Force participants
v.1.15	December 19, 2013	Responded to IDOT/BDE comments to include cooperating/participating agencies
v.1.16	April 1, 2014	Updated public meeting and task force meeting information and added revision tracking table
v.1.17	April 3, 2014	Section 4, 5 and 6 were revised based upon IDOT comments
v.1.18	May 9, 2014	Incorporated FHWA comments and updated contact information
v.1.19	October 27, 2014	Updated Cooperating/Participating Agency responses
v.1.20	July 7, 2015	Updated contact information

v.1.21	January 26, 2017	Updated contact information, listed additional methods for public involvement, minor editorial corrections
v.1.22	September 6, 2017	Updated public meeting information, updated additional methods for public involvement, updated task force membership lists, updated Table C-1 Project Study Group Members and Section 106 Consulting Parties, minor editorial corrections
v.1.23	March 5, 2021	Updated task force membership lists, Section 106 Consulting Parties lists, corridor planning committee list, elected officials list; listed additional methods for public involvement, added virtual public meeting guidelines; updated Federal and State requirements